

Special attention to Mr. Graham.

I just now up loaded this document in the files section. I thought I posted this along time ago but I didn't. This official document proves the relationship between Agent Orange and a commercially available herbicide ":Brush Killer". The VA did concede in the "Memorandum of Record" commercial herbicides were use in Thailand.

They argue AO was not used but this document shows whether you call it Brush Killer or Agent Orange it is a mute point. It is also written and published by their own expert Dr. Alvin Young when he was a 1st Lt. in the Air Force.

Bear in mind Dr. Young got his Phd while being employed by Monsanto while attending college prior to entering the Air Force. Conflict of interest?

So spread the word and take this to Congress. The VA needs to change and accept what we have known for years.

Jerry H.

Special attention to Page 5.

To Mr.Khaoguy,

You will find that the reference to "brushkiller" is just that. It is a specific reference to 2,4,5-T alone. Do not confuse it with the combination of 2,4-D (on page 5) and 2,4,5-T which is the chemical combination of Agent Orange. Further, it is a huge stretch of the imagination to take the definition of brushkiller (which is on Page 10, not page 5) and transpose it into a commercial herbicide sprayed in Thailand.

Please understand I am not pissing on your parade of facts. I am trying to teach you how to fight fire with fire. If the general consensus is that you can win using the present arguments you present, fly at it. All I would ask is that if your techniques are so successful, why all this discussion and assembly of photos/buddy letters etc. to win? Take a poll. What are the win/loss statistics among this group to date?

Currently, you can still buy a great herbicide called Spectricide at Wilco. Its prime ingredient is- hold on to your hats- 2,4-D. I use it to control tansy ragwort in my pastures which is poisonous to my horses. 2,4,5-T, on the other hand, is no longer produced commercially. The problem is not either chemical combination but the combining of the two. They produce a third unintended carcinogenic chemical (dioxin) which causes all the problems we suffer. 2,4,5-T has also been proven to have deleterious (carcinogenic) effects on laboratory animals all by itself which precludes its use these days.

You can call an herbicide a brushkiller, a soil sterilizer or whatever you choose. That, in and of itself, is a generic term. The VA recognizes only that we used a "commercial herbicide" aka tactical herbicide aka brushkiller in Thailand. Nowhere will you see it admitted on paper that the US Military sprayed AO, AB, or any other rainbow herbicide in Thailand. How difficult is that to absorb? I don't wish to be redundant, but it seems you are clinging to wishful misinterpretation of what is printed in plain English. Mr. McCay reads "presumptive" where it is nowhere written. You read "herbicide agent" where it is nowhere documented in contemporary reports on the subject.

I have no doubt, personally, that AO or AW or AB were used in Thailand. Can I prove it? No. I don't need to. All I need is a nexus letter from a MD saying it is "at least as likely as not" that the tactical herbicides caused IHD, Parkinson's DM II etc. End of discussion. Game. Set. Match.

I don't wish to insult any of you but if I didn't know any better, I'd think some of you are charter members of the Flat Earth Society. Wishful thinking is not a viable litigation technique when presenting a claim before the VA. You are allowed to testify about what comes to you via your five senses-period. Unless you have an MD or other suitable medical degree that allows you to opine on medical matters, your testimony is not accepted by VA. Hence, the use of nexus letters. Unless, or until VA and Congress change the statute and regulation, we are stuck with this poker hand. Would you hitch your horses to a plow if you had a gas-powered, self-propelled riding rototiller? I'm beginning to wonder...

I rest my case for the third time. My father said many a time "They'll see it when they believe it-and not a minute sooner, son." He also served in RVN from July 1966 to August 1967 as V/C of 7th AF under Spike Momeyer with 146 combat missions in F-4s and RF-4s. See

<http://www.arlingtoncemetary.net/gmgraham.htm> His devotion to his troops is precisely why I chose to help other Veterans win their VA claims. Statistically, 85%

of you will be denied and never succeed. I have helped over 500 win and lost 6. The 6 Vets who lost lied to me about their circumstances (UCMJ violations) or had other-than-honorable discharges. I wish all of you the best in this endeavor. I mean that from the depths of my heart. A few seem to doubt my sincerity or my knowledge.

With warmest regards

Alex sends

(Khaoguy replies)

Do not have the time at the moment to discuss this but I meant refer to Page 3. Military nomenclature vs Commercial.

The two are the same in formulation also. In the files section is spec sheet on Brush Killer clear shows this. There is other documentation out there that supports it too.

Brush Killer was banned in 1985 but around 1995 it came back as Super Brush Killer but does not have 245T in it.

My information is correct.

Jerry